UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,297	08/30/2006	Gay Joyce Cornelius	102792-608-10458P1 US	8924
	7590 03/28/200 AUGHLIN & MARCU	EXAMINER		
875 THIRD AVE 18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			NGUYEN, TUAN N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/597	7,297	CORNELIUS ET	CORNELIUS ET AL.			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Tuan N	l. Nguyen	3751				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	address			
WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply was ply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. utory period will apply ar rill, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN o event, however, may nd will expire SIX (6) M application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	Lon <i>31 Decemb</i> e	r 2007					
•		b)⊠ This action i						
—		<i>'</i> —		atters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims	•	•	·				
		in the application						
•	Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-18 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-18</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ion and/or alastia	n requirement					
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or electio	n requirement.					
Application	on Papers							
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accepted oı	· b) objected t	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is red	uired if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 1/7/08.	[°] O-948)	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/597,297 Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-3 and 5-18 are objected to because of the following informalities: "the rim" on line 5 of claim 1 lack antecedent basis in the claim; "from which part liquid" in lines 2-3 of claim 3 is grammatically incorrect; and "treated.." on line 3 of claim 3 should be --treated.--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to claims 3 and 16, it is unclear as to what structure is being claimed in claim 3 since the claim invention of Fig. 1 does not appear to show such a claimed feature. With respect to claim 17, it is unclear as to what liquid is being claimed in claim 17 since the specification fails to set forth the bound or fails to define what liquid is of the type does not dry out to leave a solid residue. They are being examined as best understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/597,297 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-3, 5-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dreifuss (954,426) in view Klammsteiner (5,457,822) and Ewing et al. (2,760,209).

Dreifuss discloses a device for dispensing a liquid into a vessel (29) having a reservoir (1,19) of fluid, which is obviously in liquid form, a syphonic-action elongated liquid delivery means (24,22) having a proximal end inside the reservoir adjacent the bottom thereof and a distal end (resting on the rim of bowl (29)) from which the fluid is dispensed as a liquid or as a vapor, the liquid delivery means is in the form of capillary feed means (24) and the device is self-priming via the capillary feed. Although the Dreifuss does not teaches means for securing the device adjacent a rim of the vessel with the reservoir outside the vessel as claimed, attention is directed to the Klammsteiner reference which discloses an analogous device for dispensing disinfectant having means (10, 10') for securing a reservoir (6), containing a liquid as claimed, to a rim of a vessel having the structures as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ, on the Dreifuss device, means for securing a reservoir, containing a liquid as claimed, to a rim of a vessel as, for example, taught by Klammsteiner in order to provide an alternative method and structure to secure a disinfectant reservoir to the rim of a

toilet vessel. The reservoir and tube (22) of Dreifuss can obviously be a flexible wall reservoir and the plastic tube (27) as, for example, taught by Ewing et al., which discloses an analogous dispensing device. The method of claim 18 would obviously be inherent in lieu of the discussion above.

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dreifuss in view Klammsteiner (as discussed above) and in further view of MoodyCliffe et al (2004/0049839).

Dreifuss in view Klammsteiner, as best understood, does teach a non-drying liquid, as claimed. However, MoodyCliffe et al. discloses a lavatory dispenser wherein the active liquid contains a humectant to prevent phase separation and precipitation; i.e. drying (Para. 0021). Therefore, it would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized a liquid with humectant in the device of Dreifuss in view Klammsteiner (if not already) to prevent accumulation of solid residue.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Williams (1,349,703) teaches dispensing device with capillary and syphonic action.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (10:00-6:00).

Art Unit: 3751

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tuan Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3751

TN